## PUTNAM PRACTICE SET 2

## PROF. DRAGOS GHIOCA

Problem 1. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_1, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We know that  $gcd(a_i, b_i) = 1$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . We let M be the least common multiple of the numbers  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$  and also, we let D be the greatest common divisor of the numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ . Then prove that the greatest common divisor of all the numbers  $\frac{a_i \cdot M}{b_i}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  is equal to D.

Solution. After replacing each  $a_i$  by  $a_i/D$ , we may assume that  $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 1$  and we have to prove that also  $gcd(a_1M/b_1, \ldots, a_kM/b_k) = 1$ . Now, assume there exists some prime number p dividing each  $a_iM/b_i$ . It cannot be that  $p \mid a_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ ; so, without loss of generality, assume  $p \nmid a_1$ . Then  $p \mid M/b_1$ ; hence  $\exp_p(b_1) < \exp_p(b_i)$  for some  $i = 2, \ldots, k$  (where  $\exp_p(c)$  is the exponent of the prime p in the prime power decomposition of the integer c). Now, let  $i_1 \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$  such that  $\exp_p(b_{i_1}) = \max_{j=1}^k \exp_p(b_j)$ ; in particular,  $p \mid b_1$ , but  $p \nmid M/b_{i_1}$ . So, p must divide  $a_{i_1}$ ; however this contradicts our hypothesis that  $gcd(a_{i_1}, b_{i_1}) = 1$ , which concludes our proof.

Problem 2. Let  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $\deg(P) \ge 1$ . We let n(P) be the number of all integers k for which  $(P(k))^2 = 1$ . Prove that  $n(P) - \deg(P) \le 2$ .

Solution. We argue by contradiction. We let  $i_1$ , respectively  $i_{-1}$  be the number of integers k such that P(k) = 1, respectively P(k) = -1. Clearly,  $\max\{i_1, i_{-1}\} \leq d$ , where  $d := \deg(P)$ ; so, by our assumption, we must have  $\min\{i_1, i_{-1}\} \geq 3$ .

Now, for each  $k_1, k_{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P(k_j) = j$  for  $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we get that  $(k_1 - k_{-1}) \mid 2$  and thus  $k_1 - k_{-1} \in \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}$ . So, if we order the integers in  $P^{-1}(-1)$ , respectively in  $P^{-1}(1)$  as

 $k_{-1,1} > k_{-1,2} > \dots > k_{-1,i_{-1}}$ , respectively  $k_{1,1} < k_{1,2} < \dots < k_{1,i_{1}}$ ,

we get that for each  $j = 1, \ldots, i_1$ , we have that  $k_{1,j} - k_{-1,1} \in \{-2, -1\}$  because there are at least two other differences  $k_{1,j} - k_{-1,\ell}$  for  $\ell = 2, \ldots, i_{-1}$  which are larger than  $k_{1,j} - k_{-1,1}$  (and all such differences are either  $\pm 2$  or  $\pm -1$ ). However, since  $i_1 \geq 3$ , we cannot have that each  $k_{1,j} - k_{-1,1} \in \{-2, -1\}$  for each  $j = 1, \ldots, i_1$ . This contradiction finishes the proof of our result.

Problem 3. Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_5$  be real numbers such that

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_5^2 = 1.$$

Prove that  $\min_{1 \le i < j \le 5} (a_i - a_j)^2 \le \frac{1}{10}$ .

Solution. We argue by contradiction and so, assume  $\min_{1 \le i < j \le 5} (a_i - a_j)^2 > \frac{1}{10}$ ; without loss of generality, we may assume  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < a_5$ . Then we replace  $a_1, \ldots, a_5$  with numbers  $b_1, \ldots, b_5$  such that

- (1)  $b_{i+1} b_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ .
- (2)  $\sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i^2 < \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i^2 = 1.$

Indeed, if all  $a_i$  have the same sign, then without loss of generality (after flipping the sign of each  $a_i$ ), we may assume  $0 \le a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4 < a_5$  and then simply take  $b_1 = a_1$  and then  $b_{i+1} = b_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$  for each i = 1, ..., 4.

Now, if  $a_1 < 0 < a_5$ , then we let  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $a_j < 0 \le a_{j+1}$ . Then we simply choose  $b_j < 0 \le b_{j+1}$  such that

- (1)  $b_{j+1} b_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}.$ (2)  $a_j < b_j < 0 \le b_{j+1} \le a_{j+1}.$

Then we let  $b_i = b_j - \frac{j-i}{\sqrt{10}}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, j-1$  and also, let  $b_i = b_{j+1} + \frac{i-j-1}{\sqrt{10}}$  for  $i = j+2, \ldots, 5$ ; clearly, the numbers  $b_1, \ldots, b_5$  satisfy the above two conditions. Now, we compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i^2$$

$$= b_1^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( b_1 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{10}} \right)^2$$

$$= 5b_1^2 + 2\sqrt{10} \cdot b_1 + 3$$

$$= 5\left( b_1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right)^2 + 1$$

$$\ge 1$$

This contradicts the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i^2 < 1$ .

Problem 4. Let n be a positive integer. Prove that the number

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2n+1}{2k+1} \cdot 8^k$$

is not divisible by 5.

Solution. We consider  $(1+\sqrt{8})^{2n+1} =: u_n + v_n \cdot \sqrt{8}$ ; we observe that  $v_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{2k+1} \cdot 8^k$ . We have that  $u_n^2 - 8v_n^2 = -7^{2n+1}$  and so, assuming that  $5 \mid v_n$ , we obtain that

$$u_n^2 \equiv -2^{2n+1} \equiv -2 \cdot (-1)^n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5},$$

which is a contradiction since neither 2 nor 3 are squares modulo 5.

Problem 5. Let n be a positive integer, let  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  be positive real numbers, and let  $q \in (0,1)$  be a real number. Prove that there exist n real numbers  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ satisfying the following properties:

- $a_k < b_k$  for each k = 1, ..., n;  $q < \frac{b_{k+1}}{b_k} < \frac{1}{q}$  for k = 1, ..., n-1; and  $b_1 + \dots + b_n < \frac{1+q}{1-q} \cdot (a_1 + \dots + a_n)$ .

*Solution.* We have the following inequalities (after repeated applications of the first two conditions above)

$$b_k > qb_{k+1} > q^2b_{k+2} > \dots > q^\ell b_{k+\ell} > q^\ell a_{k+\ell}$$

and

$$b_k > qb_{k-1} > q^2 b_{k-2} > \dots > q^\ell b_{k-\ell} > q^\ell a_{k-\ell}.$$

So, we define an auxilliary sequence

$$c_k = \max_{i=1}^n q^{|i-k|} a_k \text{ for each } k = 1, \dots, n;$$

then we need  $b_k > c_k$  for each k = 1, ..., n. We claim that if we let  $b_k = c_k + \epsilon$  for some small positive real number  $\epsilon$ , then all of the three inequalities above will be satisfied. Indeed, since for each k = 1, ..., n (looking individually at each number in the set whose maximum is represented by  $c_k$ , respectively by  $c_{k+1}$ ), we have that

$$q \le \frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k} \le \frac{1}{q},$$

then we get that

$$q < \frac{c_{k+1} + \epsilon}{c_k + \epsilon} < \frac{1}{q}$$
 for any  $\epsilon > 0$ 

because

$$qc_k < c_{k+1} + \epsilon(1-q)$$
 and similarly,  $qc_{k+1} < c_k + \epsilon(1-q)$ .

Also, from the definition of the  $c_k$ , we have  $c_k \ge a_k$  and therefore,  $b_k > a_k$ . Now, for the last inequality, we compute

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k$$

$$= n\epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k$$

$$< n\epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{|i-k|} a_i$$

$$< n\epsilon + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) \cdot \left(1 + 2q + \dots + 2q^{n-1}\right)$$

$$< n\epsilon + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1-q} - 1 - 2q^n - 2q^{n+1} - \dots\right)$$

$$< \frac{1+q}{1-q} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k - \left(2q^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k - n\epsilon\right)$$

$$< \frac{1+q}{1-q} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k,$$

as long as  $\epsilon < \frac{2q^n S}{n}$ , where  $S := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ . This concludes our proof.

Problem 6. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $Q_n$  be a square of side length  $\frac{1}{n}$ . Prove that in a square of side length  $\frac{3}{2}$  we can arrange all the squares  $Q_n$  such that for any  $m \neq n$ , the squares  $Q_m$  and  $Q_n$  are placed so that there are no interior common points for both  $Q_m$  and  $Q_n$ .

Solution. We put  $Q_1$  in the bottom left corner with coordinates (0,0), (0,1), (1,0) and (1,1). Then we put  $Q_2$  in the top right corner with coordinates (1,3/2), (3/2,3/2), (1,1) and (3/2,1) and we put  $Q_3$  in the upper left rectangle so that the coordinates of  $Q_3$  are (0,1), (0,4/3), (1/3,4/3) and (1/3,1).

We split the remaining right rectangle (whose coordinates are (1,0), (3/2,0), (3/2,1) and (1,1)) into vertical strips of lengths  $1/4, 1/8, \ldots, 1/2^n, \ldots$  (and common height 1). Note that the sum of the lengths of these strips is

$$1/4 + 1/8 + \dots + 1/2^n + \dots = 1/2.$$

Then we arrange  $Q_4, \ldots, Q_7$  in the first strip since their lengths is at most 1/4 and the sum of their heights is

$$1/4 + 1/5 + 1/6 + 1/7 < 4 \cdot 1/4 = 1.$$

Similarly, in the second strip we arrange  $Q_8, \ldots, Q_{15}$  since their lengths is at most 1/8 and the sum of their heights is

$$1/8 + \dots + 1/15 < 8 \cdot 1/8 = 1.$$

Always,  $Q_{2^n}, Q_{2^n+1}, \cdots, Q_{2^{n+1}-1}$  will fit in the strip of length  $1/2^n$ .